



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/553,051

08/08/2006

Kohichi Tanaka

023312-0118

5841

22428 7590 09/19/2008

FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP  
SUITE 500  
3000 K STREET NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

SAJJADI, FEREDYDOUN GHOTB

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1633

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/19/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |   |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/553,051    | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>TANAKA ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>FEREYDOUN G. SAJJADI | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1633              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FEREYDOUN G. SAJJADI. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen Maebius. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 2,5 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Watase et al. and Chitnis et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative proposed claim amendments to address issues regarding the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections. The examiner indicated that Applicants are entitled to product by process claims. Additionally discussed were the phenotype of the claimed transgenic mouse versus that shown in the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| /Fereydoun G Sajjadi/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1633 |  |
|--|--|